

European Network of Councils for the Judiciary (ENCJ)

Réseau européen des Conseils de la Justice (RECJ)

Survey among professional judges about their independence

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY

l.	The reference period for answering all questions is the last two years (i.e. since
	January 2017), unless indicated otherwise.

II. Unless states otherwise, questions are to be answered:

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Not sure

Agree

Strongly agree

- III. Please be assured that your identity and personal data will not be collected, you will stay anonymous.
- IV. Please let us know the name of the country in which you sit as a judge. The survey data will be published on a country-by-country basis.
- V. Please note that the survey is addressed to and is about the full-time and parttime professional judges in your country. All questions should therefore be answered only with the professional judges in mind.

PLEASE ANSWER ALL OF THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

office@encj.eu

The country in which I sit as a judge is									
My gender is □ Male □ Female □ I do not wish to answer the question									
My gender is ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ I do not wish to answer the question									
Translate only (for Italy/Bulgaria/Belgium/Romania):									
□I am a judge (one reply only)									
☐ I am a prosecutor									
My judicial experience (years of service as a judge) is:									
□ 0-5 years									
☐ 6-10 years									
☐ 11-15 years									
☐ 16-20 years									
□ 21-25 years									
☐ Over 25 years									
I work primarily at: (one reply only)									
☐ Court of first instance									
☐ Appeal court									
☐ Supreme Court/ Cassation									
I adjudicate primarily:									
☐ criminal cases									
☐ administrative cases									
☐ civil (including family) cases									
☐ all of these in equal measure									
ENCJ Project on Independence and Accountability 2018-2019 - 2 -									
www.encj.eu									

2.1.6. Fraject on macpendence and recountability 2010 2019
ENCJ Project on Independence and Accountability 2018-2019 - 3 -
5. Strongly agree
4. Agree
2. Disagree3. Not sure
1. Strongly disagree
favours) as an inducement to decide case(s) in a specific way.
2a. In my country I believe that during the last two years individual judges have accepted bribes (receiving money) or have engaged in other forms of corruption (accepted non-monetary gifts or
☐ Supreme Court/Cassation
□ Social Media
□ Prosecution
☐ Parties and their lawyers
□ Parliament
☐ Other judges (including an association of judges)
□ Media
☐ Government
□ Court Management
☐ Council for the Judiciary
☐ Constitutional Court
1c By whom? (Multiple answers are possible)
☐ Regularly
□ Occasionally
□ Very rarely
1b . If you have been subject to inappropriate pressure, which was the frequency of such pressure?
4. Agree5. Strongly agree
3. Not sure
2. Disagree
Strongly disagree
part of a case in a specific way.

office@encj.eu

2b . If you agree or strongly agree with 2a, did this occur:							
□ Very rarely							
□ Occasionally							
□ Regularly							
 3a. During the last two years I have been affected by a threat of, or actual, disciplinary or other official action because of how I have decided a case. 1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Not sure 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree 3b. During the last two years my decisions or actions have been directly affected by a claim, or a 							
threat of a claim, for personal liability. 1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Not sure 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree							
4 . I believe during the last two years cases have been allocated to judges other than in accordance with established rules or procedures in order to influence the outcome of the particular case.							
 Strongly disagree Disagree Not sure Agree Strongly agree 							
5a. I believe judges in my country have entered the judiciary on first appointment other than solely on the basis of ability and experience during the last two years.							
 Strongly disagree Disagree Not sure 							
ENCJ Project on Independence and Accountability 2018-2019 - 4 -							
www.encj.eu							
office@encj.eu							

- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree

5b. I believe judges in my country have been appointed to the Supreme Court/Cassation other than solely on the basis of ability and experience during the last two years.

- 1. Strongly disagree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Not sure
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree

5c. I believe judges in my country in first instance and appeal courts have been promoted /appointed to another position other than on the basis of ability and experience during the last two years. (Note experience may include seniority)

- 1. Strongly disagree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Not sure
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree

6. I believe that in my country decisions or actions of individual judges have, during the last two years, been inappropriately influenced by the actual, or anticipated, actions of the media (i. e. press, television or radio).

- Strongly disagree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Not sure
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree

7. I believe that in my country decisions or actions of individual judges have, during the last two years, been inappropriately influenced by actual, or anticipated, social media postings (for example, Facebook, Twitter or LinkedIn).

- 1. Strongly disagree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Not sure
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree

8. During the last two years I believe that my independence as a judge has been respected by:

	1. Strongly Disagree	2.Disagree	3.Not sure	4.Agree	5.Strongly Agree	6.Does not exist
Association of						

Judges Constitutional Court Council for the Judiciary Court Managemen incl Court President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Courcil for the Judiciary Court Managemen incl Court President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Council for the Judiciary Court Managemen incl Court President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Judiciary Court Managemen incl Court President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Court Managemen incl Court President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Managemen incl Court President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
incl Court President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
President Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Government Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Lawyers Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Media (i.e. press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
press, television or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
or radio) Parliament Parties in the trial
Parliament Parties in the trial
Parties in the trial
trial
Prosecution
Social Media
(for example
Facebook,
Twitter or
LinkedIn)
Supreme
Court/Cassation

9. I believe that in my country the Council for the Judiciary has the appropriate mechanisms and procedures in order to defend judicial independence effectively.

- 1. Strongly disagree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Not sure
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree
- **6.** Not applicable

10. During the last two years changes occurred in my working conditions that negatively influenced my independence. Please indicate per category:

	1.Strongly Disagree	2.Disagree	3.Not sure	4.Agree	5.Strongly Agree	6.Not applicable
Pay						
Pensions						
Retirement Age						
Caseload						

ENCJ Project on Independence and Accountability 2018-2019

Count December			
Court Resources			

- 11. During the last two years I was moved to another function, section or court against my wishes.
 - 1. Strongly disagree
 - 2. Disagree
 - 3. Not sure
 - 4. Agree
 - 5. Strongly agree
- **12.** During the last two years I have had to take decisions in accordance with guidelines developed by judges contrary to my professional opinion (guidelines do not include the obligation to follow precedent (optional addition to clarify the question only translate if you feel it is necessary)
 - 1. Strongly disagree
 - 2. Disagree
 - 3. Not sure
 - 4. Agree
 - 5. Strongly agree
- **13.** During the last two years the management of my court has exerted pressure on me to decide individual cases in a particular way.
 - 1. Strongly disagree
 - 2. Disagree
 - 3. Not sure
 - 4. Agree
 - 5. Strongly agree
- **14.** During the last two years the management of my court has exerted inappropriate pressure on me to decide individual cases within a particular time.
 - 1. Strongly disagree
 - 2. Disagree
 - 3. Not sure
 - 4. Agree
 - 5. Strongly agree
- **15.** In the last two years, I believe judgements that went against the interests of the government were usually implemented/enforced in my country
 - 1. Strongly disagree
 - 2. Disagree

- 3. Not sure
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree

16. On a scale of 0 - 10 (where 0 means "not independent at all" and 10 means "the highest possible degree of independence).

The professional judges in my country are:

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

not independent at all

completely independent

17. On a scale of 0 - 10 (where 0 means "not independent at all" and 10 means "the highest possible degree of independence).

As a judge I

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

do not feel independent at all

feel completely independent

- **18.** Since I started to serve as a judge my independence has:
- -Improved much
- -Improved a little
- -Stayed the same
- -Deteriorated a little
- -Deteriorated much
- **19.** In my country, I believe that judges sufficiently adhere to ethical standards.
 - 1. Strongly disagree

ENCJ Project on Independence and Accountability 2018-2019

- 8 -

www.encj.eu

- 2. Disagree
- 3. Not sure
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree
- **20**. In my country, I believe that judicial misconduct is appropriately addressed by the judicial authorities.
 - 1. Strongly disagree
 - 2. Disagree
 - 3. Not sure
 - 4. Agree
 - 5. Strongly agree
- **21.** In my country judicial corruption is effectively addressed by the judicial authorities.
 - 1. Strongly disagree
 - 2. Disagree
 - 3. Not sure
 - 4. Agree
 - 5. Strongly agree

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING IN OUR SURVEY